

Synthesis and Characterization of a Stable High-Valent Cobalt Carbene Complex

James A. Bellow,[†] Sebastian A. Stoian,[‡] Johan van Tol,[‡] Andrew Ozarowski,[‡] Richard L. Lord,^{*,§} and Stanislav Groysman^{*,†}

[†]Department of Chemistry, Wayne State University, 5101 Cass Avenue, Detroit, Michigan 48202, United States [‡]National High Magnetic Field Laboratory, Florida State University, Tallahassee, Florida 32310, United States [§]Department of Chemistry, Grand Valley State University, Allendale, Michigan 49401, United States

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: The formally Co^{IV} carbene $Co(OR)_2$ (= CPh_2) is formed upon the reaction of diphenyldiazomethane with the cobalt bis(alkoxide) precursor Co- $(OR)_2$ (THF)₂. Structural, spectroscopic, and theoretical studies demonstrate that $Co(OR)_2$ (= CPh_2) has significant high-valent Co^{IV} = CPh_2 character with non-negligible spin density on the carbene moiety.

H igh-valent cobalt carbene species are often postulated intermediates in the catalytic cyclopropanation of olefins.¹ These intermediates are generally elusive to isolation and are often studied using spectroscopic and computational methods.² While several stable high-valent, formal cobalt(IV) and cobalt(V) complexes have been isolated and structurally characterized,³ none reported contain terminal carbene ligands. Isolated and structurally characterized cobalt carbene complexes are, in contrast, generally formulated as low-valent Co^I species containing Fischer carbenes.⁴ Herein we describe the first example of a structurally characterized high-valent cobalt carbene complex and provide insight into its intriguing electronic structure.

Recently we have reported the synthesis of reactive bis(alkoxide) complexes containing the bulky alkoxide ligand OR⁻ (R = C^tBu₂Ph).⁵ Specifically, the iron bis(alkoxide) complex Fe(OR)₂(THF)₂ (1) reductively couples adamantyl azide, leading to the formation of the Fe^{III} hexazene-ligated dimer, (RO)₂Fe(μ - κ^2 : κ^2 -AdN₆Ad)Fe(OR)₂.^{5a} In contrast, reaction with aryl azides leads to catalytic nitrene coupling to form azoarenes (ArNNAr) via a putative iron(III) imido radical intermediate.^{5b} Due to the generation of reactive nitrene species from azides, we next turned to study the reactivity of our bis(alkoxide) complexes with diazoalkanes (R₂CN₂) to form reactive carbene species in a similar manner.

For our preliminary investigation, we decided to focus on diphenyldiazomethane as a carbene source. Diphenylcarbene was previously found to form stable carbene complexes with other first-row transition metals.^{4e,6} The presence of two phenyl groups provides electronic stabilization, via charge delocalization on the carbene carbon, as well as through steric protection. The 1:1 addition of diphenyldiazomethane to a stirred solution of 1 in hexanes led to an instantaneous color change to red. Reaction workup afforded colorless crystals as the major



Figure 1. (Top) Reaction of iron (1) and cobalt (2) bis(alkoxide) complexes with diphenyldiazomethane. (Bottom) Molecular structures of $Co(OR)_2(THF)_2$ (left, 2) and $Co(OR)_2(=CPh_2)$ (right, 3) (40% probability ellipsoids), demonstrating selected bond distances. H atoms are omitted for clarity.

product, confirmed to be benzophenone azine, $(Ph_2CN)_2$ (Figure 1, 60%). Attempts to isolate or characterize the inorganic byproducts were unsuccessful. Presumably an iron carbene complex is generated in this reaction, but it rapidly reacts with additional diazoalkane present in solution to yield the azine. Formation of benzophenone azine from the decomposition of diphenyldiazoalkane has been previously reported in the literature for both the reaction of diphenyldiazomethane with transition metal compounds⁷ and the thermal decomposition of diphenyldiazomethane.⁸

An analogous reaction performed with the cobalt bis-(alkoxide) complex $Co(OR)_2(THF)_2$ (2) gave markedly different results (Figure 1). Upon diphenyldiazomethane addition, the solution color gradually changed to red-brown over the course of a few minutes. Workup and crystallization led to the isolation of brown crystals in high yield (85%), confirmed by X-ray crystallography to be the novel cobalt carbene complex $Co(OR)_2(=CPh_2)$ (3). To the best of our knowledge, complex 3 is the first example of an isolable, structurally characterized cobalt carbene complex in a weakfield ligand environment. Carbene complexes of the later firstrow transition metals that possess high-valent metal centers or

 Received:
 March 15, 2016

 Published:
 April 14, 2016

that are stabilized by weak-field ligands are largely nonexistent, although a few cases for iron have been reported.⁹ The first spectroscopically^{10a} and structurally^{10b} characterized dirhodium carbenes were also recently reported. Intriguingly, complex **3** is stable at room temperature under an inert atmosphere, and crystals of it can be stored for weeks at -35 °C without any evidence of degradation.

The crystal structure of 3 is shown in Figure 1. The structure depicts a Co=C bond length of 1.773(3) Å, which, of the 11 reported terminal cobalt-carbene (non-NHC) structures, is among the shortest, with the shortest Co=C bond length being 1.740(1) Å for the cobalt fluorocarbene complex $Co(Cp)(PPh_3)(=CF_2)$.^{4d} This short carbon bond suggests increased metal-carbon π -bonding and directly contrasts with the longer (1.9-2.0 Å) bond lengths reported for cobalt(I) terminal carbenes. Additionally, the Co-alkoxide bond lengths are 1.765(2) and 1.773(3) Å, significantly shorter than those of the bis(alkoxide) precursor (complex 2; see Figure 1). This suggests that the oxidation state of cobalt in the complex is likely higher than 2+ and that the carbene here is not behaving as a Fischer carbene. Solution magnetic measurements and cyclic voltammetry further corroborate this possibility. Compound 3 demonstrates a magnetic moment of 2.0 \pm 0.1 $\mu_{\rm B}$ consistent with the overall low spin (S = 1/2). In contrast, compound 2 is high spin (S = 3/2), which is typical of lowcoordinate M^{II} species in weak-field ligand environments.^{7f,11} The cyclic voltammogram (Figure S7) demonstrates several reduction events, at -1.17 V, -2.46 V, and -3.16 V, with the first two being quasi-reversible. No complex oxidation was observed in the accessible solvent window. This finding supports the presence of a highly oxidized metal center in complex 3.

The EPR spectra recorded for 3 (Figures 2 and S12) support an S = 1/2 ground spin state. Resonance fields in the 50–400 GHz spectra exhibit a linear dependence on the microwave frequency (Figure S13), demonstrating that the spin ground state of 3 is an isolated Kramers doublet (S = 1/2 spin state). Simulations of the individual spectra, as well as the linear fit of the field vs frequency dependence of the resonant field values, yield a rhombic g tensor such that $g_x = 3.04(1)$, $g_y = 2.17(1)$, and $g_z = 1.91(1)$. The X-band spectra exhibit well-defined, eight-line hyperfine splitting patterns for which the individual features become progressively broader as their effective g-value increases. These eight-line patterns establish that the observed hyperfine structure is dominated by coupling to the I = 7/2spin of the ⁵⁹Co ion. Analysis of the spin functions in our coupled system shows that the $|S = 1/2, M_S = \pm 1/2\rangle$ states should exhibit effective g values according to $g_{eff} = (4g_{Co} - 4g_{Co})$ $g_{radical}$)/3. Reasonable cobalt g components $g_{x,Co}$, $g_{y,Co}$, and $g_{z,Co}$ of 2.78, 2.12, and 1.93, respectively, can thus be extracted from the experimental values (see Supporting Information (SI) for more details). Interestingly, the spectra observed for 3 are dramatically different from those associated with the Co-(porphyrin)-supported bridging and terminal carbene species described by Dzik and co-workers.^{2a} In particular the terminal carbene, the only Co(porphyrin)-based species that exhibits unpaired spin density delocalized over the carbene moiety, is characterized by $g \approx 2$ and small ⁵⁹Co hyperfine coupling constants. This behavior demonstrates the presence of an S = 0cobalt(III) ion and that the unpaired spin density is found nearly exclusively on the carbene. In contrast, the parameters observed for 3 prove that this species contains a paramagnetic



Figure 2. Continuous-wave, variable-frequency EPR spectra recorded at 9.38 GHz, 20 K (top) and 203.20 GHz, 10 K (bottom) for frozen toluene solutions of 3. Solid red lines are simulations obtained using a S = 1/2 spin-Hamiltonian ($g_x = 3.04(4)$, $g_y = 2.17(5)$, $g_z = 1.91(3)$, $\sigma(g_x) = 0.03(2)$, $\sigma(g_y) = 0.01(1)$, $\sigma(g_z) = 0.01(1)$, $A_x = 585$ MHz, $A_y =$ 280 MHz, $A_z = 230$ MHz, $\sigma(A_x) = 25$ MHz, $\sigma(A_y) = 10$ MHz, $\sigma(A_z) =$ 5 MHz) that includes hyperfine coupling to an I = 7/2, ⁵⁹Co nucleus. The feature marked by (*) appears at g = 2.0023 and originates from a minor, radical-based impurity.

Co ion. To better understand the electronic structure of **3**, we turned to DFT calculations.

Calculations were performed at the B3LYP/6-31G(d) level of theory.¹² Despite the tendency of B3LYP to favor high-spin configurations,¹³ the doublet species was calculated to be lower in energy than the quartet and sextet states (Table S8), consistent with the EPR and magnetic data. This doublet, with a Co–C bond length of 1.818 Å, was obtained from two distinct starting geometric and electronic structures: (i) a Co–C distance of 1.6 Å and S = 1/2 localized at Co to test for Co^{IV}=C and (ii) a Co–C distance of 2.0 Å and a S = 1 Co^{III} center antiferromagnetically coupled to a carbene radical.¹⁴ The quartet state, which features Co^{III} ferromagnetically coupled to a Co–C to 1.930 Å.

The spin density for **3** is shown in Figure **3** with the α spin at Co and β spin on the carbene. Significant spin on Co is consistent with the *g* tensor deviating from 2 and in contrast to the Co-porphyrin system whose spin density was entirely localized on the carbene.² Mulliken spin densities of 1.77 on Co



Figure 3. Spin density isosurface plot (iso = 0.005 au) with α spin represented by blue and β spin represented by white.

and -0.68 on the carbene C suggest two α electrons on Co and one β electron on the carbene. A corresponding orbital analysis¹⁵ (Figure 4) partially supports this assignment, but the



Figure 4. Corresponding orbital diagram (iso = 0.05 au) for 3.

large overlap of 0.64 between the Co d orbital and the carbene p orbital indicates significant π bonding. Calculation of the quartet at the doublet geometry produces an electronic structure that is qualitatively different than the doublet (Mulliken spins of 2.51 and 0.09 on Co and C) and is best described as a genuine high-spin Co^{IV} complex. Thus, while we were unable to calculate the energy of the ferromagnetically coupled $Co^{III}-C^{\bullet}$ state, the ${}^{HS}Co^{IV}$ state obtained places a lower bound on I = 4135 cm⁻¹. This large coupling constant, combined with elongation of Co-C by 0.11 Å from the doublet to quartet state, suggests that 3 is an intermediate-spin Co^{III} (S = 1) strongly coupled to a CR_2^- radical. Moreover, re-evaluation of this wave function with OPBE¹⁶ (see Table S4) predicts less spin density on C (suggesting more Co^{IV}=C character), with values approaching those predicted for an analogous, hypothetical Rh complex that should be Rh^{IV}=C. Thus, DFT predicts that 3 falls on the spectrum between Co^{III} and Co^{IV}, but with significant Co^{IV}-alkylidene character. A HYSCORE experiment (Figure S17) confirms the presence of unpaired spin density on the diphenylcarbene moiety.

The porphyrin-ligated Co^{III}-carbene radical is a proposed intermediate in olefin cyclopropanation.² Consequently, we tested compound 3 for carbene-transfer reactivity. Two olefins were chosen for the preliminary investigation: styrene and methyl acrylate. The previously reported cobalt porphyrin complexes proved to be excellent catalysts for the efficient and selective cyclopropanation with both of these substrates, albeit with different carbenes (primarily derived from diazoesters).^{11,1} We also note that while transfer of the [CPh₂] carbene moiety to olefins has been reported, it is not common with Co.^{4e,17,18} Reaction of a stoichiometric amount of 3 with styrene at 60 or 90 °C led to about 15% conversion to 1,1,2-triphenylcyclopropane after 1 h;¹⁷ no further conversion occurred with additional heating. Similarly, under catalytic conditions (10 mol % of 3 at 60 °C) the formation of about 1 equiv of the cyclopropane was observed after 1 h, as demonstrated by ¹H NMR (see SI). Conversely, no cyclopropane formation was observed for a stoichiometric reaction of 3 with methyl acrylate at 60 °C. Thus, our preliminary studies indicate that our Cocarbene, which features a different electronic structure and a relatively bulky carbene, shows diminished reactivity. Our future studies in this project will focus on the stoichiometric and catalytic reactivity of compound 2 in cyclopropanations

involving less sterically bulky carbenes that are commonly used in cycloproponation reactions. We will also explore the reactivity of our cobalt carbenes in [2 + 2] reactions which are more typical of "alkylidenes".

In summary, we reported and structurally characterized a unique cobalt–carbene complex featuring an unusual highvalent cobalt–carbene functionality in a weak-field ligand environment. EPR spectra show Co-centered spin density, which is consistent with a species with significant Co^{IV} – alkylidene character based on DFT calculations. Further spectroscopic and reactivity studies are currently underway.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

S Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/jacs.6b02747.

X-ray crystallographic details for 3 (CIF)

Synthetic procedures, CV data, NMR spectra, IR spectra, UV–vis spectra, Evans data, EPR data, and computational data (PDF)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Authors

*lordri@gvsu.edu

*groysman@chem.wayne.edu

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Acknowledgment is made to the donors of the American Chemical Society Petroleum Research Fund for support of this research. R.L.L. acknowledges support from the National Science Foundation (computational support through CHE-1039925 to the Midwest Undergraduate Computational Chemistry Consortium) and the Research Corporation (Cottrell College Science Award). Part of this work was performed at the National High Magnetic Field Laboratory (NHMFL) which is supported by the NSF (DMR-1157490) and the State of Florida. S.A.S. is an NHMFL Jack E. Crow postdoctoral fellow.

REFERENCES

(1) (a) Nakamura, A.; Konishi, A.; Tatsuno, Y.; Otsuka, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1978, 100, 3443-3448. (b) Nakamura, A.; Konishi, A.; Tsujitani, R.; Kudo, M.; Otsuka, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1978, 100, 3449-3461. (c) Niimi, T.; Uchida, T.; Irie, R.; Katsuki, T. Tetrahedron Lett. 2000, 41, 3647-3651. (d) Ikeno, T.; Sato, M.; Sekino, H.; Nishizuka, A.; Yamada, T. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 2001, 74, 2139-2150. (e) Niimi, T.; Uchida, T.; Irie, R.; Katsuki, T. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2001, 343, 79-88. (f) Huang, L.; Chen, Y.; Gao, G.-Y.; Zhang, X. P. J. Org. Chem. 2003, 68, 8179-8184. (g) Chen, Y.; Fields, K. B.; Zhang, X. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 14718-14719. (h) Chen, Y.; Zhang, X. P. J. Org. Chem. 2007, 72, 5931-5934. (i) Chen, Y.; Ruppel, J. V.; Zhang, X. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 12074-12075. (j) Zhu, S.; Ruppel, J. V.; Lu, H.; Wojtas, L.; Zhang, X. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 5042-5043. (k) Zhu, S.; Perman, J. A.; Zhang, X. P. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 8460-8463. (1) Fantauzzi, S.; Gallo, S.; Rose, E.; Raoul, N.; Caselli, A.; Issa, S.; Ragaini, F.; Cenini, S. Organometallics 2008, 27, 6143-6151. (m) Ruppel, J. V.; Gauthier, T. J.; Snyder, N. L.; Perman, J. A.; Zhang, X. P. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 2273-2276. (n) Caselli, A.; Buonomenna, M. G.; de Baldironi, F.; Laera, L.; Fantauzzi, S.; Ragaini, F.; Gallo, E.; Golemme, G.; Cenini, S.; Drioli, E. J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem. 2010, 317, 72-80. (o) Morandi, B.; Mariampillai, B.; Carreira, E. M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 1101-1104.

Journal of the American Chemical Society

(2) (a) Dzik, W. I.; Xu, X.; Zhang, X. P.; Reek, J. N. H.; de Bruin, B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2010**, 132, 10891–10902. (b) Belof, J. L.; Cioce, C. R.; Xu, X.; Zhang, X. P.; Space, B.; Woodcock, H. L. Organometallics **2011**, 30, 2739–2746. (c) Lu, H.; Dzik, W. I.; Xu, X.; Wojtas, L.; de Bruin, B.; Zhang, X. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2011**, 133, 8518–8521.

(3) (a) Topich, J.; Halpern, J. Inorg. Chem. 1979, 18, 1339-1343. (b) Anson, F. C.; Collins, T. J.; Coots, R. J.; Gipson, S. L.; Richmond, T. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1984, 106, 5037-5038. (c) Byrne, E. K.; Richeson, D. S.; Theopold, K. H. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1986, 1491-1492. (d) Collins, T. J.; Powell, R. D.; Slebodnick, C.; Uffelman, E. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 8419-8425. (e) Will, S.; Lex, J.; Vogel, E.; Adamian, V. A.; Van Caemelbecke, E.; Kadish, K. M. Inorg. Chem. 1996, 35, 5577-5583. (f) Brookhart, M.; Grant, B. E.; Lenges, C. P.; Prosenc, M. H.; White, P. S. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2000, 39, 1676-1678. (g) Pfaff, F. F.; Kundu, S.; Risch, M.; Pandian, S.; Heims, F.; Pryjomska-Ray, I.; Haack, P.; Metzinger, R.; Bill, E.; Dau, H.; Comba, P.; Ray, K. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 1711-1715. (h) Lewis, R. A.; George, S. P.; Chapovetsky, A.; Wu, G.; Figueroa, J. S.; Hayton, T. W. Chem. Commun. 2013, 49, 2888-2890. (i) Hong, S.; Pfaff, F. F.; Kwon, E.; Wang, Y.; Seo, M.-S.; Bill, E.; Ray, K.; Nam, W. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 10403-10407. (j) Zhang, L.; Liu, Y.; Deng, L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 15525-15528.

(4) (a) Carre, F.; Cerveau, G.; Colomer, E.; Corriu, R. J. P.; Young, J. C.; Ricard, L.; Weiss, R. J. Organomet. Chem. 1979, 179, 215–226.
(b) Erker, G.; Lecht, R.; Petersen, J. L.; Boennemann, H. Organometallics 1987, 6, 1962–1967. (c) Filippou, A. C.; Herdtweck, E.; Alt, H. G. J. Organomet. Chem. 1988, 355, 437–447.
(d) Harrison, D. J.; Gorelsky, S. I.; Lee, G. M.; Korobkov, I.; Baker, R. T. Organometallics 2013, 32, 12–15. (e) Marquard, S. L.; Bezpalko, M. W.; Foxman, B. M.; Thomas, C. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 6018–6021. (f) Harrison, D. J.; Lee, G. M.; Leclerc, M. C.; Korobkov, I.; Baker, R. T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 18296–18299.

(5) (a) Bellow, J. A.; Martin, P. D.; Lord, R. L.; Groysman, S. Inorg. Chem. 2013, 52, 12335–12337. (b) Bellow, J. A.; Yousif, M.; Cabelof, A. C.; Lord, R. L.; Groysman, S. Organometallics 2015, 34, 2917–2923.
(c) Yousif, M.; Tjapkes, D. J.; Lord, R. L.; Groysman, S. Organometallics 2015, 34, 5119–5128.

(6) (a) Mindiola, D. J.; Hillhouse, G. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 9976–9977. (b) Russell, S. K.; Hoyt, J. M.; Bart, S. C.; Milsmann, C.; Stieber, S. C. E.; Semproni, S. P.; DeBeer, S.; Chirik, P. C. Chem. Sci. 2014, 5, 1168–1174. (c) Lindley, B. M.; Swidan, A.; Lobkovsky, E. B.; Wolczanski, P. T.; Adelhardt, M.; Sutter, J.; Meyer, K. Chem. Sci. 2015, 6, 4730–4736.

(7) (a) Bethell, D.; Eeles, M. F. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2 1974, 704–710. (b) Crumrine, D. S.; Haberkamp, T. J.; Suther, D. J. J. Org. Chem. 1975, 40, 2274–2278. (c) Saha, A. K.; Hossain, M. M.; Grubisha, D. S.; Bennett, D. W. J. Chem. Crystallogr. 1995, 25, 383–387. (d) Zhu, H.; Chai, J.; Stasch, A.; Roesky, H. W.; Blunck, T.; Vidovic, D.; Magull, J.; Schmidt, H.-G.; Noltemeyer, M. Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2004, 2004, 4046–4051. (e) Mankad, N. P.; Peters, J. C. Chem. Commun. 2008, 1061–1063. (f) Bonyhady, S. J.; Goldberg, J. M.; Wedgwood, N.; Dugan, T. R.; Eklund, A. G.; Brennessel, W. W.; Holland, P. L. Inorg. Chem. 2015, 54, 5148–5150.

(8) Parham, W. E.; Hasek, W. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1954, 76, 935-936.

(9) (a) Esposito, V.; Solari, E.; Floriani, C. *Inorg. Chem.* 2000, 39, 2604–2613. (b) Lindley, B. M.; Jacobs, B. P.; MacMillan, S. N.; Wolczanski, P. T. *Chem. Commun.* 2016, 52, 3891–3894.

(10) (a) Kornecki, K. P.; Briones, J. F.; Boyarskikh, V.; Fullilove, F.; Autschbach, J.; Schrote, K. E.; Lancaster, K. M.; Davies, H. M. L.; Berry, J. F. *Science* **2013**, 342, 351–354. (b) Werlé, C.; Goddard, R.; Fürstner, A. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2015**, 54, 15452–15456.

(11) (a) Lee, W.-T.; Jeon, I.-R.; Xu, S.; Dickie, D. A.; Smith, J. M. Organometallics **2014**, 33, 5654–5659. (b) King, E. R.; Sazama, G. T.; Betley, T. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2012**, 134, 17858–17860.

(12) (a) Frisch, M. J.; Trucks, G. W.; Schlegel, H. B.; Scuseria, G. E.; et al. *Gaussian 09*, Revision D.01. (b) See SI for ref 12a full citation and details about the calculations.

(13) Reiher, M.; Salomon, O.; Hess, B. A. Theor. Chem. Acc. 2001, 107, 48-55.

(14) Noodleman, L. J. Chem. Phys. 1981, 74, 5737-5743.

(15) Neese, F. J. Phys. Chem. Solids 2004, 65, 781-785.

(16) (a) OPBE has been shown to excel at spin state energetics,

which influences the metal oxidation state. (b) Swart, M.; Groenhof, A. R.; Ehlers, A. W.; Lammertsma, K. J. Phys. Chem. A 2004, 108, 5479.

(17) Liu, H.; Wei, Y.; Cai, C. New J. Chem. **2016**, 40, 674–678.

(18) (a) Li, Y.; Huang, J.-S.; Zhou, Z.-Y.; Che, C.-M.; You, X.-Z. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 13185–13193. (b) Priya, S.; Balakrishna, M.-S.; Mobin, S.-M.; McDonald, R. J. Organomet. Chem. 2003, 688, 227–235. (c) Dai, X.; Warren, T. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 10085–10094. (d) Zarkesh, R. A.; Heyduk, A. F. Organometallics 2009, 28, 6629–6631.

5534